

PART B: REPRESENTATION		
Please repeat your Name or Organisation:		
Mr Matthew Weeks		
<ol> <li>Did you submit representations on the Proposed Submission Version of the Core Strategy during the public consultation (28<sup>th</sup> February – 10<sup>th</sup> April</li> </ol>	Yes:	
2013)?	No:x	(please go to Q6)
	Don't know	(please go to Q6)
4. Did you submit a representation relating to the North-East March Allocation (in Policy CS9 – March)?	Yes:	Yes:
	No:	
5. If you submitted representations on the North-East March Allocation, do		
you want the comments you make below to replace those previously made (in so far as they relate to the North-East March amendments)?	No:	
6. Do you support the amendments to the Proposed Submission Version a set out in the Addendum relating to North-East March?	s Yes:	
Set out in the Addendam relating to North-Last March:	No:x	
		1
7. With the addition of the amendments in the Addendum do you consider that the Fenland Core Strategy is legally compliant?	Yes:	
triat trie i eriland dore dirategy is logally domphant:	No:x	
8. With the addition of the amendments in the Addendum do you consider	Yes:	
that the Fenland Core Strategy is sound?		
	No:x	
<ol> <li>If you consider the Fenland Core Strategy with the addition of the amend not sound, please identify your reason(s) for this by ticking the appropri Guidance Notes to help you decide.</li> </ol>	dments in the ate box(es).	e Addendum is Please see the
In my opinion, the Core Strategy is not:		
1 control   continue	Consistent v national poli	
10. Please use the box below to set out your reasoning behind your respons	se to Q7, Q8	and/or Q9.
As a successful business owner who has recently been looking at the available	ability of pro	perty in March I
have noticed the significant lack of newer, executive style properties. I have Core Strategy and considering such a lack of property available, especially t	been follow	ring the Fenland
town centre was incredibly surprised and disappointed to see the removal of	f the North e	ast Allocation,
especially at such a late stage in the consultation process. I can completely	understand	local opinion
regarding the loss of the Estover Road playing fields as I agree that in the fa areas for recreation are a must. However what I fail to understand is the rea	ist pace of masoning behi	nodern life open
of the whole North East Allocation. Surely the provision of further executive	style house	s, in a similar
vain to that of Berryfields, potentially an extension of the existing Berryfields	housing wo	uld increase the
availability of properties in the area, enabling March as a town to grow in an of young families and professionals, bringing much needed revenue and gro	upward tasi wth to the to	nion with a mix own
At Lawrid intrinsa and branagatational and in Surant pages and and Sur		

		Continue on extra sheets/expand bo	x if necessarv
	d be to mak		e set out helpful if
I fail to understand why the whole North Ea arguments from local residents were regard plans for the entire allocation.			
		Continue on extra sheets/expand bo	v if nooossan
necessary to support/justify the representation a subsequent opportunity to make further represe further submissions will be only at the requeidentifies for examination.	ntations bas	ed on the original representation. After this	stage,
12. If your representation is seeking a chan of the forthcoming public examination (			e oral part
NO, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination:	X	YES, I wish to participate at the oral examination:	
13. If you wish to participate at the oral part necessary.	of the exar	nination, please outline why you conside	r this to be
		Continue on extra sheets/expand bo	x if necessary

Please note that the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Please make sure you have signed and dated the front page of the form